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Abstract: Palaeomagnetic and palaeoenvironmental data from Indonesia and particularly from the Island of Sulawesi are scarce and exact dating has turned out to be a challenge in many archives from this region. Here we outline difficulties in radiocarbon dating of the palaeoenvironmental record from Lake Kalimpaa, Sulawesi, Indonesia. These difficulties demand the integration of additional parameters to obtain a reliable chronology for this record. Thus, we compare the palaeomagnetic secular variation data from this record with the CALS3k.4 spherical harmonic geomagnetic model of the 0–3 ka field (Korte & Constable 2011). The resulting age–depth model for the Lake Kalimpaa sequence provides a profound basis for further multi-proxy investigations on this record. For the first time, high-resolution palaeomagnetic secular variation data continuously spanning the past 1300 years are presented for this region, which complement existing records with lower temporal resolution or records missing the top-most sections.

The area between mainland Asia and Australia is of great significance for understanding modern climatic processes. Sea surface temperatures in the western Pacific warm pool drive the dynamics of El Nino Southern Oscillation and the Asian Monsoon (Oppo et al. 2009; Linsley et al. 2010). Influenced by water masses passing from the warm western Pacific to the Indian Ocean, this region acts as a considerable source of latent heat for the globe (Hope 2001). In particular, palaeorecords from the region help to trace the structures behind recurrent environmental changes. However, palaeoenvironmental information from Indonesia, and particularly from the Island of Sulawesi, is scarce. To complement the information derived from marine records and to improve the understanding of SE Asian–Pacific palaeoclimate, its amplitude and the exact timing of palaeoenvironmental variations, further records from terrestrial archives in this region are essential (Dam et al. 2001). Palaeoenvironmental studies have been carried out in Java (Stuijts et al. 1988; van der Kaars & Dam 1995; van der Kaars et al. 2001; Crausby et al. 2006), Kalimantan (Morley 1981; Anshari et al. 2001, 2004; Page et al. 2004; Hope et al. 2005; Yulianto et al. 2005), Halmahera (Suparan et al. 2001) and New Guinea (Hope et al. 1988; Haberle 1998; Haberle & Ledru 2001; Haberle et al. 2001; Hope 2009; Fig. 1). Marine sediment cores are the only sources of palaeoenvironmental information in the intermediate area between the islands (van der Kaars et al. 2000; Hope 2001; Spooner et al. 2005; Newton et al. 2006; Brijker et al. 2007; Langton et al. 2008). Until now, on the Island of Sulawesi itself, which is the main area of interest for this contribution, palaeoenvironmental knowledge of the past two millennia is extremely limited. Palynological investigations have been carried out on Wanda Swamp (2°33′ S, 121°23′ E; Hope 2001), Danau (Lake) Tempe (4°5′S, 119°55′E; Gremmen 1990) and
Lake Tondano (1°16′N, 124°54′E; Dam et al. 2001; Fig. 1). However, these studies focus on long-lasting variations like the Pleistocene–Holocene transition and are of low temporal resolution. Recently, a sequence focusing on Late Holocene developments in central Sulawesi was published (Kirleis et al. 2011).

As far as palaeomagnetic information is concerned, the lack of spatial coverage is even poorer. A number of deep-sea sediment cores (MD62, MD70, MD77 and MD81) in the vicinity of Indonesia and the Philippine Islands, which form a transect (MD70, MD77 and MD81) in the vicinity of Indonesia and the Philippine Islands, form a transect from 6.3′N to 10.6′S, were recovered (Lund et al. 2006; Fig. 1). These are the first high-resolution palaeomagnetic records of Holocene palaeomagnetic secular variation recovered within 17′′ of the Equator. As the focus of these records has been the entire Holocene, it appears that there is little information about the most recent palaeomagnetic history available in most records; for example, in MD70 (Fig. 1) the last c. 2000 years of sediment are missing (Lund et al. 2006). The same holds for a marine record recovered further north at ODP Leg 195 Hole 1202B (24°48.24′S, 122°30.00′E; Richter et al. 2006), for four marine cores obtained from the Ontong Java Plateau (1°24.1′N–3°36.3′S, 156°59.9′–158°41.6′E; Constable & Tauxe 1987) and for two records from northeastern Australian crater lakes (Constable 1985). Based on the age–depth model of the ODP site, it is possible that the last c. 1000 years are missing (Richter et al. 2006) and the Ontong Java Plateau study only provides relative palaeointensity data with a resolution of two to three points for the past two millennia (Constable & Tauxe 1987). In the northeastern Australian lakes, the top-most 1000–3000 years are missing completely (Constable 1985). Therefore, comparable archives are only available at a greater distance (e.g. southern Australia).

However, for eastern Asian lake records it was demonstrated that palaeosecular variation dating can be useful for stratigraphic correlation on a regional scale. The method could help to resolve ambiguities in the ages of some high-frequency climate events within different regions and to understand their significance in global change (Yang et al. 2009).

In the following, we compile an age control and present the first high-resolution palaeomagnetic data from Lake Kalimpaa, located on the Island of Sulawesi (Fig. 1). Radiocarbon dates in former studies on Sulawesi reveal that generating age–depth models that provide the key to proper palaeoenvironmental reconstruction can be very difficult as they show age reversals, missing surfaces and/or unexpected modern dates (Dam et al. 2001; Hope 2001). We will illustrate the difficulties associated with dating at Lake Kalimpaa and, in combination with palaeomagnetic data, try to evaluate an age–depth model providing the basis for further multiproxy investigations. Until now, little attention has been given to the practical use of palaeomagnetic secular variations computed by the CALSxk model series as a dating tool (Barletta et al. 2010). Recently, good matches have been shown between the CALS3k.3 model (Donadini et al. 2009; Korte et al. 2009 – the model preceding the CALS3k.4 model (Korte & Constable 2011) used in this study) and marine sediments from the western (Barletta et al. 2010) and eastern (Ledu et al. 2010) Canadian Arctic, as well as between the model and lacustrine sediments from Lake Nam Co (Kasper et al. 2012), located on the Tibetan Plateau. For the location of lake Kalimpaa, some of the above-mentioned archives are contained in the CALS3k.4 model database, as well as higher-resolution records covering the relevant time span from further south (i.e. southern Australia) and further north (e.g. Lake Biwa; Ali et al. 1999; Donadini et al. 2009; Korte & Constable 2011).

Regional setting
Lake Kalimpaa (1°19′34.8″S, 120°18′31.9″E; Fig. 1), sometimes also referred to as Danau Tambing, is located close to the northeastern border of the protected area of the Lore Lindu National Park in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. The national park has existed since 1993. However, parts of the park have been deforested (Merker 2003). A recreational area was recently constructed in the immediate surroundings of the lake and a small stream was redirected, bringing more water and sediment into the lake.

The lake itself is located at 1660 m asl. The surrounding geology consists of intrusive and metamorphic rocks (Villeneuve et al. 2002). Neighbouring crests reach altitudes of >2300 m asl, resulting in distinct topographic differences. The lake covers an area of 6.5 ha and has a maximum depth of 6.6 m. Reeds grow on the shore surrounding the lake. A reed belt is situated in the north and NE that fades to a swamp forest. About 200 m
eastwards from the shoreline the main asphalt road through the National Park passes by (Fig. 1) and divides the lake area from the steeper mountainous area reaching to Mount Rorekatimbu (2300 m asl). Vegetation in the south and west of the lake consists of lower- to mid-mountain rainforest species. Inflow reaches the lake mainly through the swamp forest area in the NE, and an outflow is located to the SW.

Climatic patterns in this area are complex and poorly understood, with considerable variation over short distances (Hope 2001). The general pattern shows constant temperatures throughout the year ranging from 25 °C during the night up to 35 °C during the day. In the mountainous regions where Lake Kalimpaa is located, mean temperatures are around 20 °C. Annual precipitation is in the order of 2000–3000 mm, with less precipitation in the rain shadow of the mountains (Weber 2006).

**Materials and methods**

In 2006, three sediment cores (KAL1 to 3) were recovered from Lake Kalimpaa. Palaeomagnetic investigations were applied to the irregularly laminated, longest, best-preserved and hence most suitable core, KAL1 (211 cm). KAL2 was investigated by Indonesian counterparts using standard sedimentological analyses. KAL3 is only a short core of 25 cm in length and thus was not considered for further investigations. KAL1 consists of three overlapping sections (KAL1-1 to -3) that were recovered from a water depth of 6.5 m using a Livingstone piston corer. Core sections were recovered in a tube and transported to the laboratory of the Department of Palynology and Climate Dynamics, University of Göttingen, Germany, for further analyses. In the laboratory, cores were stored in darkness at 4 °C before being split, photographed and described lithologically. Sections were correlated using distinct macroscopic marker layers (Gutknecht 2008).

As no macro remains for radiocarbon dating were available, a first set of six bulk sediment samples was submitted to the accelerator mass spectrometry radiocarbon laboratory of the University of Erlangen, Germany (Table 1). Subsequently, three additional bulk sediment samples were sent to the Poznan Radiocarbon Laboratory, Poland (Table 1). Considering inconsistencies, all six samples previously dated in Erlangen were measured a second time (labelled as Erlangen 2 in Table 1). Most radiocarbon ages were calibrated using the Southern Hemisphere calibration curve (shcal04; McCormac et al. 2004) of the online CALIB 5.0.2 software (Stuiver & Reimer 1993). Calibrated ages were given as median ages with 2σ errors and are reported as cal BP (Table 1). Ages exceeding this curve were not calibrated and are displayed as BP (Fig. 2). Modern ages were calibrated using CALIB-Bomb (Reimer et al. 2004) and the year of the highest probability was chosen for age–depth modelling (Table 1). As the sediment–water interface was intact, it was used to represent the year of coring, which was 2006. Owing to very low sedimentary calcite contents (Gutknecht 2008), a hard water effect can be excluded for Lake Kalimpaa.

Sections were subsampled with u-channels and subsequently shipped to the Sedimentary Palaeomagnetism Laboratory at the Institut des sciences de la mer de Rimouski of the University of Québec at Rimouski, Canada. u-Channels of sections KAL1-2 and 3 were cut off at a very distinctive correlating marker layer and taped together. Small gaps in KAL1-2 were closed by gently pushing the sediment from the top, avoiding compaction of the sediment itself. This resulted in a reduction of the total length of the record by 3 cm (=208 cm total length). Using the many marker layers, the depths of the radiocarbon ages were adjusted to the new u-channel depth scale.

Palaeomagnetic data were acquired at 1 cm intervals on the u-channels using a 2 G Enterprises™ 760R cryogenic magnetometer and pulse magnetizer module (for isothermal remanent magnetization, IRM). Owing to the width of the response function of the magnetometer pick-up coils of c. 7 cm, some smoothing occurs. As the material in KAL1-2 and -3 seemed to be very different, the last 7 cm of KAL1-2 and the first 7 cm of KAL1-3 were excluded. It was not necessary to exclude the lower part of KAL1-1 or the upper part of KAL1-2 as these sections extended further down and up, respectively, from the main correlating marker layer. Measurements on these overlapping extensions provided identical results, so no data had to be removed.

The natural remanent magnetization (NRM) was measured first using stepwise alternating field (AF) demagnetization at peak fields of 0–75 mT with 5 mT increments. Inclination and declination of the characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) were calculated using an Excel spreadsheet developed for that purpose (Mazaud 2005) with AF demagnetization steps from 10 to 50 mT (nine steps). These steps were chosen in order to minimize maximum angular deviation (MAD) values obtained by principal component analysis (Kirschvink 1980) with the same macro. ChRM declinations were corrected for rotation at section breaks, that is, it was assumed that the lowermost data point of the upper section is identical to the uppermost data point of the lower section. Declination data are relative and centred at zero since the coring was not azimuthally oriented. An anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) was then induced at peak AF of 100 mT with a 0.05 mT direct current (DC) biasing field and subsequently demagnetized and measured...
Table 1. Accelerator mass spectrometry radiocarbon dates from Lake Kalimpaa. Medians of calibrated ages refer to the 2σ ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment depth (cm)</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Median calibration age (cal BP)</th>
<th>Error to present (years)</th>
<th>Error to past (years)</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
<th>Laboratory no.</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Remark</th>
<th>δ¹³C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-11299</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-10576</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-11300</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-11301</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-11302</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>17893</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Erlangen</td>
<td>Erl-11303</td>
<td>&gt;shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Erlangen 2</td>
<td>Erl-12181</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>1649</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Erlangen 2</td>
<td>Erl-12182</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Erlangen 2</td>
<td>Erl-12183</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Erlangen 2</td>
<td>Erl-12184</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>13413</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Erlangen 2</td>
<td>Erl-12185</td>
<td>&gt;shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Poznan</td>
<td>Poz-24168</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td></td>
<td>–24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>4760</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>Poznan</td>
<td>Poz-24169</td>
<td>shcal04.14c</td>
<td>0.4 mg C</td>
<td>–29.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage modern carbon

| 105.75  | 0.37 | –46 | –45 | –43 | –9 | –8 |
| 102.62  | 0.52 | –46 | –45 | –9  | –8 |

Note: Ages labelled ‘Erlangen 2’ were re-dated and correspond to the same sample as the sample ‘Erlangen’ at the respective sediment depth. Ages used in the final chronology are printed in bold.
from 0 to 60 mT with 5 mT steps as well as at 70 and 80 mT. An isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) was imparted with a DC field of 0.3 T and subsequently demagnetized and measured using the same steps as with the ARM. Similarly, a second IRM (corresponding to a saturated isothermal remanent magnetization, SIRM) was imparted with a higher DC field of 0.95 T and subsequently demagnetized and measured at 0, 30, 50 and 100 mT.

Hysteresis loops along with remanence curves were measured on small subsamples taken from selected intervals of each u-channel using an alternating gradient force magnetometer (Princeton Measurements Corp., MicroMag model 2900). Magnetization saturation (Ms), coercive force (Hc), saturation remanence (Mrs) and coercivity of remanence (Her) were extracted from this data to characterize the magnetic mineralogy and magnetic domain state, which can be an indicator of grain size (Day et al. 1977).

Results and interpretation

Chronology

Results of the first set of bulk subsamples sent for radiocarbon dating showed inconsistencies, that is, ages were not in stratigraphic order and distinct jumps in sedimentation rate occurred (Fig. 2a, Table 1). Three additional samples dated in a different laboratory revealed even more inconsistencies and would have led to the exclusion of additional
Fig. 3. Typical vector end-point orthogonal projection diagrams for the sediment record of Lake Kalimpa. Solid dots refer to west–east whereas circles refer to up–down.
ages. For this reason, the first set of subsamples was re-dated and showed different ages from in the first attempt (Fig. 2, Table 1). As a hard-water effect can be excluded at Lake Kalimpaa, this leads to the assumption that there is a different kind of reservoir effect. Most likely, as often happens with bulk sediment samples (Haberzettl et al. 2005), not just the autochthonous carbon fraction was dated but also some allochthonous organic matter was incorporated into the samples. This probably led to the age offsets in either direction between the first and the second dating attempt of the first six samples (Fig. 2, Table 1). This hypothesis is supported by the low δ¹³C values of the dated material ranging from $-34.8$ to $-24.0\%$, indicating non-algal organic matter contributions (Table 1; Haberzettl et al. 2005; Mayr et al. 2005). If this is the case, all ages are tentatively too old. In order to
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minimize this error, only the youngest dates were chosen for age–depth modelling and a linear interpolation was applied (Fig. 2). The two/three (one was re-dated) lowermost ages at 203 and 204 cm sediment depth show a clear offset to all ages above. Hence, it was not obvious whether it was suitable to include the youngest of the three in the age model or to do a linear extrapolation using the lowermost more reliable dates above (Fig. 2b). For this reason we decided to cut the record at the lowermost reliable date at 151 cm sediment depth when plotting data on an age scale. Accordingly, the age scale has a basal age of 1340 +65/−50 cal BP at 151 cm sediment depth (Fig. 2c).

The uppermost 44 cm (~8 cal BP to year of coring, 2006) of the record show an average sedimentation rate of an order of magnitude higher, passing from 0.8 mm a⁻¹ to 9.2 mm a⁻¹. This significant increase in the most recent history can probably be explained by human disturbances in the immediate surroundings of the lake (e.g. campsite or road construction). In order to exclude any impact of this catchment activities, only data before 0 cal BP were used for comparisons later on in this study.

**Palaeomagnetic data**

A strong and stable characteristic remanent magnetization can be isolated between the AF demagnetization steps of 10 and 50 mT (Fig. 3). Declination data from c. 25–0 cm sediment depth trends from +79° to +25°. This seems to be a rather high rate of secular variation for a low-latitude site. We suspect that this is a coring artefact, as is often observed in the uppermost and water saturated part of sediment cores.

Inclination shows an increasing trend with depth (Fig. 4). Values are close to the expected geocentric axial dipole (GAD) (2.65°S for Lake Kalimpaa) showing an average offset of less than c. 12°. Inclination only reaches the expected GAD for the latitude of Lake Kalimpaa in the lowermost part of the record at c. 200 cm, which is in the part of the record that is not plotted on an age scale (Fig. 4).

Maximum angular deviation values are generally lower than 5° in the upper part of the record. MAD values increase to above 10° in the lower part, reaching 30° at the base of the record (Fig. 4). As MAD values can be used to help assess the quality of the directional record, intervals with MAD values above 5 should be considered suspect during times of stable polarity. High MAD values generally reflect a complex magnetization carried by unstable magnetic grains (Stoner & St-Onge 2007). For this reason and because of the uncertainties in the age–depth model in this part of the record, no palaeomagnetic measurements of section KAL1-3 (165–208 cm sediment depth) were plotted on an age scale.

High MAD values between 208 and 165 cm sediment depth are probably related to the very low magnetic intensity at the base of the record (Fig. 4), resulting in a low signal-noise ratio, and to an increase in magnetic grain size as coarser sediment grains (sand) can be observed macroscopically in this part of the core (Fig. 5). The changes in magnetic grain size are supported by a jump in the median destructive field (MDF) from values oscillating around 40 mT to c. 25 mT (Fig. 4), as well as by samples plotting in the multi-domain range.

![Fig. 5. Lithology of the investigated core.](image-url)
MDF is a grain-size as well as a coercivity-dependent parameter, and is also useful to estimate magnetic mineralogy (Dankers 1981). MDF values of the record indicate low-coercivity minerals in the upper part. This is in agreement with the pseudo S-ratio values (IRM0mT/SIRM0mT) close to 1, which are also indicative of low coercivity minerals such as magnetite (St-Onge et al. 2003). Apart from the excluded basal part of the record with coarser material, changes in magnetic concentration-dependant parameters (NRM, ARM, IRM) are within an order of magnitude (Fig. 4). Finally, most of the studied samples fall in the pseudo-single domain region for magnetite on a Day plot (Day et al. 1977). The only exception occurs at the excluded bottom of the record (208–165 cm sediment depth), where samples are in the multi-domain area (Fig. 6) and where MAD values are the highest.

These observations are consistent with a set of criteria (Tauxe 1993; Stoner & St-Onge 2007) proposed to be fulfilled for relative palaeointensity (RPI) determinations. Estimation of RPI of the geomagnetic field in sedimentary sequences is obtained by dividing the measured NRM by an appropriate normalizer in order to compensate for the variable concentration of ferrimagnetic minerals (Tauxe 1993). To construct our RPI proxy, we normalized the NRM30mT by the ARM30mT. No significant correlation between the RPI proxy and its normalizer was observed ($R^2 = 0.046$), suggesting that ARM is suited for palaeointensity estimations. Additionally, no significant correlation was found between the RPI proxy and magnetic grain size proxies (e.g. ARM/IRM: $R^2 = 0.202$).

No obvious similarities are observed in the details between our RPI reconstruction and the CALS3k.4 (Korte & Constable 2011) spherical harmonic model output for the coring site. However, both graphs show the same trend and slope over the last 1000 cal BP. However, more detailed rock-magnetic work is needed to fully document potential changes in the magnetic carrier and its influence on the RPI estimation.

**Discussion**

Analogous conservative age–depth modelling approaches were used in other studies before, showing reasonable results when comparing proxy data with nearby other archives (Haberzettl et al. 2005; Mayr et al. 2005; Kasper et al. 2012). Although not to the same extent, similar age reversals observed in Lake Kalimpaa were also found in Wanda Swamp, and were attributed to the mixing of adjacent older sediments (Hope 2001). In Lake Tondano, a modern date was also found at 100–90 cm sediment depth (Dam et al. 2001), illustrating that dating lake records from Sulawesi have been similarly
complicated to those at Lake Kalimpaa. However, the authors of the latter do not attribute the young age to an increased sedimentation rate but to an admixture of recent soil organic matter. At Lake Kalimpaa this seems to be rather unlikely as two ages 21 cm apart show such young (modern) ages.

Fig. 7. Comparison of declination, inclination and palaeointensity from the Lake Kalimpaa record with the CALS3k.4 model (Korte & Constable 2011) output for the coordinates of Lake Kalimpaa.
(Fig. 2, Table 1). Hence, we have to assume that both ages are correct and attribute this to human influence in the catchment area.

Although it was challenging to construct an initial age model, there are many similarities in inclination and declination, as well as a similar trend in palaeointensity between the Lake Kalimpaa record and the CALS3k.4 model output (Korte & Constable 2011; Fig. 7). Comparison of the inclination from Lake Kalimpaa to the SE Australia inclination master curve (KBG) consisting of data from three Australian maars, that is, lakes Keilambete, Bulleenmerri and Gnotuk in SW Victoria (Barton & Polach 1980; Barton & McElhinny 1981), also reveals similar trends (Fig. 8). This might be attributed to the fact that, after statistical treatment (Donadini et al. 2009), parts of the underlying three records are contained in the database of the CALS3k.4 model (Korte & Constable 2011). Correlating the remanence record of the SE Australia master curve is best done using inclination, which displays characteristic swings. The declination record is less suitable because it seems devoid of clear correlatable features between the Australian maars (Anker et al. 2001). However, chronologies of Lake Kalimpaa and the KGB are expected to differ distinctively. Comparisons of the KGB record with other data for SE Australia covering the past 2500 years (Barton & Barbetti 1982) suggested that KGB ages might be older by about 350 calendar years owing to a reservoir effect (Barton & Barbetti 1982; Anker et al. 2001). Because of these uncertainties, we consider the KGB record to support our measurements but do not use it to date the Kalimpaa record. Furthermore, the resolution of the KGB record is one order of magnitude lower than the Kalimpaa record (13 v. 150 data points, i.e. centennial v. decadal resolution). Despite this different resolution, the SE Australia records deviates from the GAD as in the Lake Kalimpaa record (Anker et al. 2001).

When developing the CALS3k.3 model (Korte et al. 2009), several inconsistencies either within records or when compared with other nearby records had already been observed for many records (Donadini et al. 2009). The authors tried to overcome these problems using a statistical rejection of outliers. This led to a rejection of 34% of the data (Donadini et al. 2009) and a resolution of c. 100 years (Korte & Constable 2003).

The similarities of the Lake Kalimpaa full vector palaeomagnetic data to the model (Fig. 7) indicate that the age model is a good first-order approximation. Differences lie within the error in the chronology determined by age-modelling artefacts owing to linear interpolation and the error in the radiocarbon dating method itself, which according to the accepted ages in this study ranges between 50 and 120 years (Table 1, Fig. 7). With the established age model, it is now possible for upcoming studies to set multi-proxy analyses in a time frame. This provides a reliable chronology for this record as further radiocarbon dates would not improve the chronology since they might also be influenced by remobilization of old organic matter. This is very important as the time span covered by the Lake Kalimpaa record is missing in the surrounding archives (Hope 2001).

As far as the palaeomagnetic data are concerned, this paper is the first high-resolution study in this area. Sedimentation rates are up to three times...
higher than in the available sediment records from the marine domain surrounding Sulawesi. These marine records normally cover the entire Holocene but often yield only a little information in the top-most part (Lund et al. 2006). Our data thus complement the published marine dataset.

The value of the Lake Kalimpaa record is increased further as it has good coverage of the past 400 years. There are few archives available for modelling palaeosecular variations after the year 1600, which can be attributed to frequent loss or disturbance of material near the sediment–water interface during coring (Donadini et al. 2009). Owing to the high sedimentation rate at the top of the Lake Kalimpaa record, even the top-most part (which might be influenced by coring artefacts) can be removed without great loss of information.

Conclusions

Although the radiocarbon-derived age–depth model of Lake Kalimpaa is conservative, a comparison of the full vector palaeomagnetic data with the CALS3k.4 geomagnetic spherical harmonic model confirms this approach. This leads to the conclusion that the presented age model is well suited for further multi-proxy palaeoenvironmental investigations, which are necessary as many archives from Indonesia miss the top-most part of the records. Finally, the palaeomagnetic data from this paper constitute the first continuous high-resolution terrestrial record from Indonesia, yielding a detailed full-vector palaeomagnetic record from 1300 cal BP up to the present.

We would like to acknowledge the helping hands of C. Brunschöen and J. Labrie during u-channel sub-sampling and laboratory work as well as comments from Monika Korte on an earlier version of this manuscript. M. Markusen is thanked for background information about the surrounding of Lake Kalimpaa, and M. Wündsch for assistance with graphs. T. Habertzetti was supported by a post-doctoral fellowship scholarship provided by Le Fonds québécois de la recherche sur la nature et les technologies (FQRNT). Guillaume St-Onge was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada discovery grant and Canadian Foundation for Innovation grants for the acquisition and operation of the cryogenic magnetometer. Sediment coring/ research was carried out as part of sub-project C7 of the Collaborative Research Centre SFB 552 ‘Stability in Rainforest Margins’, at the University of Göttingen, and was funded by the DFG, Germany. We gratefully acknowledge logistic support from STORMA’s Indonesian partner universities in Bogor and Palu, Institut Pertanian Bogor and Universitas Tadulako, the Ministry of Education in Jakarta, the Indonesian Institute of Sciences, and the authorities of the Lore Lindu National Park. Finally, we would like to thank Mr Iksam, State Museum Central Sulawesi, Palu, Indonesia, for his support with sediment coring.

References


